



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/939,509	08/24/2001	Gregory P. Campau	1-23339	2340
46582 7590 10/19/2007 MACMILLAN, SOBANSKI & TODD, LLC ONE MARITIME PLAZA - FOURTH FLOOR 720 WATER STREET TOLEDO, OH 43604			EXAMINER SCHWARTZ, CHRISTOPHER P	
			ART UNIT 3683	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 10/19/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/939,509	Applicant(s) CAMPAU ET AL.	
	Examiner Christopher P. Schwartz	Art Unit 3683	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Christopher P. Schwartz. (3)_____.

(2) Allen Inks. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 16 October 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,22,42,48 and 49.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yagi et al., Luckevich et al., Resch '099, SAE technical paper 950762, Steiner '730, technical paper 960991.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner agreed to reconsider the proposed changes to some of the independent claims, sent via e-mail from applicant's representative, in light of the newly submitted references to Yagi et al. and Luckevich et al. The examiner informed applicant's representative for the need of a new Oath light of the proposed corrections to the specification and drawings. A marked up (underlined) copy of all of the new claims (including proper claim identifiers--once amended, twice amended etc.) added to the patent and a courtesy copy showing the changes is currently needed. An IDS citing the Luckevich et al. patent is also needed..